UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,364	01/23/2004	Roger Maitland	Q102939	4471
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 10/23/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVENUE, N	TRAN, ELLEN C		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2433	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision				
from Pre-Appeal Brief				
Review				

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/762,364	MAITLAND ET AL.
	Art Unit
NASSER G. MOAZZAMI	2436

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Revi	ew filed 5 August 2009.			
 Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s): 	d a conference will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurred. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revenue. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Prenue. ☐ Other: . 	riew is appropriate.			
The time period for filing a response continues to run fro the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Noti				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.				
The panel has determined the status of the claic Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	im(s) is as follows:			
3. Allowable application – A conference has been had Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remapplicant at this time.				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been he action will be mailed. No further action is required by ap				
All participants:				
(1) <u>NASSER G. MOAZZAMI</u> .	(3) <u>ELLEN TRAN</u> .			
(2) <u>KIM VU</u> .	(4)			
/Nasser G Moazzami/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2436				